Sociology 3308: Sociology of Emotions

Prof. J. S. Kenney

Overheads Class 5-6: The Psychology of Emotions:

Perennial problems in the study of emotions include:

1. How we define the task of the psychology of emotions;

- 2. How we define an emotion;
- 3. How we distinguish different emotions and the elicitors of emotion;
- 4. Defining the boundaries of emotion;
- 5. The relationship between emotion and motivation;
- 6. The nature-nurture debate and emotion;
- 7. The relation between emotion and reason; and
- 8. The functions of emotion.

1. Defining the Field of Emotion Study:

* Certain phenomena stand out:

-Feelings

-Shifts in the control of behavior and thought

-Involuntary and impulsive behaviors

-The emergence and tenacity of beliefs

-Changes in the individual's relationship with the environment

-Physiological changes not caused by physical conditions.

* Past work:

(1) A focus on goal directed behavior being "affected"

(2) The individual's relationship with the environment and other people/ meanings

(3) Recurrent patterns of behavior accompanying changes in relationship/ appear predictive of future behavior

(4) Bodily upset/ disorganized behavior and thought.

* All require explanations from "within" the person.

* For psychologists, they demand hypotheses about possible causal factors.

*Whether taken as feeling or just as some inner state or process, emotion fulfills the function of rendering phenomena *intelligible* & their consequences more *predictable*.

* Emotions are:

- (1) Usually are responses to external events
- (2) Have appreciable consequences
- (3) Tend to occur in conjunction with each other

2. The Task of the Psychology of Emotion:

* The task of psychology is to analyze emotions and to *explain them at the level of the individual*.

* Psychology seeks explanations of emotion in terms of cognitive, motor, and processes, individual capacities, resources, and the like.

* Psychology of emotions also considers the individual's dynamic interactions with the environment.

* Psychological explanations are thus composed of three terms:

- (1) The structure of the individual;
- (2) Stored information; and
- (3) Dynamic interaction with the environment.

* Weighting of these factors is controversial (complex individual structure vs. complex environment). Theorizing seeks optimal balance.

- * Different kinds of explanations:
 - Intentional aims/subject object relations and meanings
 - Functional level (mechanisms/ consequences)
 - Structural level (neurophysiological/biological)
 - Regularities and laws vs. rules with unspecified restrictions

3. What is "an" Emotion?

- * Different analyses at different levels = different answers
 - Feeling
 - Physiological arousal vs. evaluation/cognition
 - Sets of various components vs. one overriding one
 - Deterministic vs. probabilistic
 - States vs. processes
- * Level of conceptualization:
 - Intrapersonal vs. interpersonal
 - Physiological limits vs. intentional limits
- * Defining particular emotions / particular instances:
 - Short term or enduring
 - Transactions vs. overall relational theme/ appraisal
 - Action readiness/ facial expressions/ physical arousal
- * Levels selected vary:
 - Higher levels ideally complexes of lower
 - Not necessarily incompatible/ assumptions key

4. What are Emotions?

* Is a single concept of "emotion" justified?

- Is there sufficient specificity? (e.g. from behavior/ judgments/ activation)

- Is there sufficient functional unity? (e.g. commonalities between)

* There is little agreement on unity and specificity. Some suggestions:

-Pleasure/ pain
-Sensation
-Evaluation
-Cognitive appraisal/ obstructing goals and purposes
-Desire/ action instigation
-Action readiness
-Reactions to stimuli vs. agency

* Focus on process:

- Synchronization of various components/ more coherent
- Restriction vs. expansion of domain (e.g. sentiments similar)
- Ultimate question: not whether an emotion, but which components are present?

5. How are we to Distinguish Different Emotions?

- * Various factors posited:
 - Physiological components/autonomic response
 - States of action readiness/ behavior/ appraisal
 - Type of eliciting event
 - Core relational theme

* Which components should be preferred? 3 Answers (different + and -):

(1) One component has causal priority over others;

(2) Hypothetical dispositions that underlie all components

(3) Unordered collections activated in different combinations

* Contrast with social constructionist view:

- Emotions originate culturally
- Don't consider biological basis
- Does point to an important influence/ emotional regulation

* Multicomponent view:

- Gets away from categories/ static states/ nouns
- Allows change/ processes/ emotions as verbs
- Describes various emotions in terms of processes
- Defines components functionally/ links to appraisal
- Encourages research/ stimulates hypotheses
- Gets away from linear reasoning/ organization
- Considers how subprocesses follow each other

6. What are the Relations between Emotion and Motivation?

* Term "motivation" as problematic as "emotion."

* Motivation:

- Cause, aspect, or consequence of emotion?
- Should distinction be abandoned?
- Dispositions vs. occurrent emotional states
- Does processual view help issue?
- Does every emotion imply motivational change?

7. What Elicits Emotions?

- * Types of eliciting factors:
 - External events Thoughts Physiological causes

- * Causation approaches:
 - Behaviorism: unconditioned and conditioned stimuli
 - Contingencies: arrival termination of pleasant/ unpleasant events
 - Promotion/ obstruction of subject's concerns/ appraisals
- * Meaning/ appraisal approach: problems:
 - Evidence for appraisals after the fact
 - Hedonistic motivations
 - Structure of people's concerns unclear
 - Cognitive emotions (e.g. boredom)
- * Ultimately, emotions spring from many sources.

8. Nature or Nurture?

- * Biologically innate vs. social construction/learning of emotions
- * Biological basis:
 - Emotional capacities rooted in human constitution (e.g. appraisal)
 - Cannot be totally reduced to cognitions/ judgments
 - Neurological/neurochemical mechanisms
 - Innate dispositions: action readiness/ facial expressions/ language

* Universality does not necessarily prove biological origin (e.g. universal contexts for learning/ universally similar problem solving).

* Biological dispositions/ cultural determinants neither incompatible/ mutually exclusive (e.g. Western and Arab shame)

* Symbolic capacities and social interactions penetrate every phenomenon.

* Not very clear how biological dispositions/ cultural determinants interact

9. Emotion and Reason:

* Traditional contrast of emotion and reason is still with us.

- * Mitigated in modern theory:
 - Role of cognitions recognized
 - Rationality and functional nature of certain emotions
 - Emotion often considered appropriate to situation
- * Problems still remain:
 - Arousal without cognitive antecedent
 - Arousal with prepared/ conditioned stimuli
 - Inferences not always necessary
 - Many cognitions necessary for well-being are unemotional
 - Difficulty rationally convincing phobia sufferers otherwise
 - Need for emotion regulation/self-control
 - Emotions producing suboptimal results (e.g. panic/crush)
 - Functions = searching for rationality in irrational
 - Dysfunctional outcomes must be dealt with

10. The Functions of Emotions:

* Emotions often viewed today as adaptively useful (biological data/ evolutionary reasoning)

* Two varieties of functional explanations:

- Contingencies that brought into existence
- What they accomplish once in place
- * The former cannot be checked now against alternatives

* The latter often = lazy thinking/ obscure other explanations

* While many emotions are functional: there is a problem reconciling their irrationality

- Different types of emotion?

- Limited emotional resources/ inescapable situations?

- Functional reactions applied beyond appropriate contexts?

- Intellectual/ cultural development outrunning evolution?

* The psychology of emotions needs to examine these as well.

Concluding Remarks:

* Problems often not solved due to world views/ limits to conceptualization

* Explanations at different levels not necessarily incompatible

* Need more emphasis on process rather than definition/ at various levels

* Researchers at different levels need to talk/work together more to further our understanding of emotions.